

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/837,824	04/18/2001	Glen Davis	DGL-10002/15	9644
7:	590 07/23/2002			
DOUGLAS J. McEVOY Gifford, Krass, Groh, Sprinkle, Anderson & Citkowski, P.C. 280 N. Old Woodward, Suite 400			EXAMINER	
			WONG, STEVEN B	
			,	
Birmingham, MI 48009		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<b>-</b>			3711	
			DATE MAILED: 07/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/837,824	DAVIS, GLEN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Steven Wong	3711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHITHE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing displayed the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, howeve oly within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SIX te, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ( (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	May 2002 .				
,— 2a)⊠		his action is non-fina	al.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
•	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from considerati	on.			
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· <u> </u>	6) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
· —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9)□ -	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held i	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	sterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ther:			

Application/Control Number: 09/837,824 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hand.

Regarding claim 1, Hand discloses a set of water skipping articles comprising a three dimensional body (45) defined by upper and lower elliptically extending faces (41, 42) and a hollow interior cavity (57).

Regarding claim 4, the interior cavity is formed by elliptical surfaces (49, 55).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. Claims 3, 5-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hand. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the width to form the skipping article of Hand with the instantly claimed dimensions as the applicant has not shown the criticality by a new and unexpected result and it appears that the dimensions shown by Hand would accomplish similar purposes.
- 4. Claims 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hand in view of Glovak et al. (4,151,997). Glovak et al. reveal a water skipping article formed from sand and a water soluble organic binder (column 1, lines 33-43). It would have been obvious to one of

Application/Control Number: 09/837,824 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

ordinary skill in the art to form the article of Hand from the materials of Glovak et al. in order to provide an environmentally friendly water skipping article.

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed May 24, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding the applicant's argument that the surfaces of Hand are not elliptical in shape, it appears that applicant is using his own definition of the terminology. Attention is directed to the attached definition which states that an ellipse is defined as oval in shape. Clearly, Figures 2 and 5 of Hand show the water skipping article having surfaces with an oval cross section. Although Hand does not particularly state that the surfaces are elliptical, it does not preclude one of ordinary skill in the art from discerning from the Figures that the water skipping article is elliptical in cross section.

Regarding the enhanced performance characteristics obtained by the water skipping device of the present invention, the applicant has been invited to provide evidence of a new and unexpected result obtained from the particularly claimed dimensions.

Regarding the applicant's statement that Hand provides a pointed outer edge and not the claimed smooth edged outer perimeter, the applicant appears to be arguing a difference in degree which is not presented in the claims. While the rounded edge (45) of Hand might not be to the same degree as that of applicant's, the instant claims do not particularly define this degree and thus, the water skipping article anticipates the claimed limitation.

Application/Control Number: 09/837,824 Page 4

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding the limitation for the article to be formed from a biodegradable material, attention is directed to the rejections of claims 2 and 9 wherein the reference to Glovak et al. teaches a water skipping article formed from a biodegradable material.

#### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Wong whose telephone number is (703) 308-3135.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Official responses, subject to the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.6(d), can be faxed to (703) 305-3579.

Art Unit: 3711

Unofficial faxes which are meant for discussion purposes only should be sent to (703) 308-7768. It is strongly suggested that the examiner be contacted directly before sending any unofficial fax.

St<del>even W</del>ong Primary Examiner Art Unit <del>371</del>1

SBW July 18, 2002

No. 18th July College Laboration of Europe and Axia recembing but not so large the control of the college of the college and related forms—called along the college of the